PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D	1	2	OCT	2005
MIDO				BC.

					
Applicant's or agent's file reference PC25320A	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No. PCT/IB2004/003694	International filing date 08.11.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 21.11.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or no A61K39/39, A61P37/04, A61P31/04		PC	•		
Applicant PFIZER PRODUCTS INC. et al.	/				
This report is the international pre Authority under Article 35 and trans	liminary examination rensmitted to the applican	eport, established by t	this International Preliminary Examining 36.		
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
•	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:				
a. \square sent to the applicant and to		·			
☐ sheets of the descripti and/or sheets containi Administrative Instruct	ng rectifications authori	ngs which have been zed by this Authority	amended and are the basis of this report (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the		
☐ sheets which supersed beyond the disclosure Supplemental Box.	de earlier sheets, but w in the international app	hich this Authority co Dication as filed, as in	nsiders contain an amendment that goes dicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the		
b. (sent to the International B sequence listing and/or tab Box Relating to Sequence	oles related thereto, in c	computer readable for	ber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a m only, as indicated in the Supplemental re Instructions).		
This report contains indications re	elating to the following i	tems:			
☑ Box No. I Basis of the opin	-				
☐ Box No. II Priority	IIIOI I				
	ent of opinion with reas	ard to novelty inventiv	e step and industrial applicability		
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of		ard to noverty, inventin	re step and industrial applicability		
	•	2) with regard to nove s supporting such stat	lty, inventive step or industrial ement		
☐ Box No. VI Certain docume	nts cited				
	in the international app		·		
☑ Box No. VIII Certain observa	tions on the internation	al application			
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of	this report		
17.12.2004		11.10.2005			
Name and mailing address of the internation	al	Authorized Officer	. Date		
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office - P.B. NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays B. Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016	as	Rankin, R Telephone No. +31 70	2 340-		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/003694

_					
	Box	No. I	Basis of the repor	t	
1. With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in visited, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				n the international application in the language in which it was	
		This re	eport is based on tran is the language of a t	slations from the or ranslation furnished	riginal language into the following language , d for the purposes of:
		☐ pul	ernational search (und plication of the interna ernational preliminary	ational application (u	23.1(b)) under Rule 12.4) r Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement shee have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):			onse to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this		
	Desc	cription	ı, Pages		
	1-38	-	.,	as originally filed	
				0	
Claims, Numbers					
	1-18 a		as originally filed		
Drawings, Sheets					
	1-2			as originally filed	
		a sequ	uence listing and/or ar	ny related table(s) -	see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
з.		The a	mendments have resu	ulted in the cancella	ation of:
			description, pages claims, Nos.		
		☐ the	drawings, sheets/figs		
			sequence listing <i>(spe</i> table(s) related to se		poift.
		— ап	r table(s) related to se	equence listing (spe	ecny).
4.	had	not be	eport has been establ en made, since they l ntal Box (Rule 70.2(c)	nave been consider	f) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below red to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the
			description, pages		
			claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/figs	;	
		☐ the	sequence listing <i>(spe</i> table(s) related to se	ecify):	points.
				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••
			em a abbites, so	we or all of f	these sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/003694

			_		
		x No. III Non-establishment o plicability	of op	inion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial	
1.	The obv	e questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-vious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:			
		the entire international application,			
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 14-17			
		because:			
	⊠	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 14-17 (Partially, for reasons of industrial applicability) relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
		see separate sheet			
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.			
		no international search report h	international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.		
		the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:			
		the written form		has not been furnished	
				does not comply with the standard	
		the computer readable form		has not been furnished	
				does not comply with the standard	
		the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
		See separate sheet for further	detai	ls	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/003694

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

6-13, 17, 18

No: Claims

1-5, 14-16

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-18

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-13, 18 14-17

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 14-17 relate to subject matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT)

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

5.1 For the assessment of the present claims 14-17 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist within the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognise as industrially applicable the subject matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

5.2 Cited Documents

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: SATO YUKIO ET AL: "Adjuvant effect of a 14-member macrolide antibiotic on DNA vaccine" CELLULAR IMMUNOLOGY, vol. 197, no. 2, 1 November 1999 (1999-11-01), pages 145-150, XP002316892 ISSN: 0008-8749
- D2: TOMASIC JELKA ET AL: "The effect of cefodizime and related compounds on humoral immune response in rabbits" ACTA PHARMACEUTICA (ZAGREB), vol. 44, no. 2, 1994, pages 109-116, XP008042733 ISSN: 0354-2971
- D3: WOO PATRICK C Y ET AL: "Antibiotics modulate vaccine-induced humoral immune response" CLINICAL AND DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORY IMMUNOLOGY, vol. 6, no. 6, November 1999 (1999-11), pages 832-837, XP002316893 ISSN: 1071-412X
- D4: YANG D ET AL: "Mammalian defensins in immunity: more than just microbicidal" TRENDS IN IMMUNOLOGY, ELSEVIER, CAMBRIDGE, GB, vol. 23, no. 6, 1 June 2002 (2002-06-01), pages 291-296, XP004365772 ISSN: 1471-4906
- D5: CONFER A W ET AL: "Immunogenicity of recombinant Mannheimia haemolytica serotype 1 outer membrane protein PlpE and augmentation of a commercial vaccine" VACCINE, BUTTERWORTH SCIENTIFIC. GUILDFORD, GB, vol. 21, no. 21-22, 20

June 2003 (2003-06-20), pages 2821-2829, XP004429680

5.3 Novelty

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-5, 14-16 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

- 5.4 D1 discloses the adjuvant effect of erythromycin on immune responses elicited by a DNA vaccine (cf the whole document). Consequently, the subject matter of claims 1-5 and 14-16 is not novel with regard to D1.
- 5.5 D2 discloses the adjuvant effect of cefodizime on adaptive immune responses to an exogenous antigen in rabbits (cf the whole document). Consequently, the subject matter of claims 1-5 and 14-16 is not novel with regard to D2
- 5.6 D3 discloses the adjuvant activity of various antibiotics on antigen specific immune responses (cf the whole document). Consequently, the subject matter of claims 1-5 and 14-16 is not novel with regard to D3.
- 5.7 D4 discloses the immunological activity and adjuvant effects of defensins, a class of anti-microbial compounds (see in particular p 293, right-hand column). Consequently, the subject matter of claims 1-4 and 14-16 is not novel with regard to D4.
- 5.8 The subject matter of claims 6-13, 17 and 18 is novel with regard to the prior art.

5.9 Inventive Step

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 6-13, 17 and 18 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

- 5.10 The closest prior art to claim 6 is D5 which discloses the a subunit vaccine containing an antigen from M. Haemolytica (cf the abstract).
- 5.11 The difference between claim 6 and D5 is that in claim 6 the adjuvant used is an antibiotic.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/003694

- 5.12 The problem to be solved is therefore to provide an alternate adjuvant for an M. Haemolytica vaccine.
- 5.13 Claim 6 solves this problem but cannot be considered inventive in light of the prior art. D1 discloses the use of the macrolide erythromycin as a vaccine adjuvant and hence the skilled person would consider it obvious to employ such a molecule as an adjuvant in a vaccine. Claim 6 cannot therefore be considered inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 5.14 Claims 7-13 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step since they merely represent straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to solve the problem posed (Article 33(3) PCT).

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- 8.1 Claim 6 does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT because the scope of the claim is rendered unclear by use of the non-limiting term "such as".
- 8.2 Claims 15 and 16 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT because the scope of said claims have been rendered unclear by the use of the phrase "...selected from the agents described herein", thus the skilled person is left in doubt as to the nature of the claimed subject matter.